## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Kathy Gordon-Jackson, Plaintiff	
VS	Case No. C-1-00-813 (Hogan, M.J.)
City of Cincinnati, et. al.,  Defendants	
ORDER	
Agreement or in the Alternation Defendants requested, and were Response to Plaintiff's Motion represented that they were in concerning a resolution of this extension of time until Novem Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen. To informed the Court of the resolution	Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce the Settlement we to Reset Matter on Court's Docket (Doc.24). It granted, two extensions of time in which to file a to Reopen Case (See Docs. 16, 28). Defendants "direct communication with counsel for Plaintiff's case." Therefore Defendants were granted an aber 15, 2003 in which to file their Response to a date, Defendants have neither filed a Response nor attion of this matter.  **DERED THAT Defendants show cause, in writing,
within ten (10) days why Plainti	ff's Motion to Enforce the Settlement Agreement or r on Court's Docket (Doc.24) should not be granted.
SO ORDERED.	
	s/Timothy S. Hogan Timothy S. Hogan United States Magistrate Judge